



MINUTES
at the time of
authorisation **DATE**
OF DECISION 2023-
06-14
Stockholm

Annex 15 Case
No. Ö 816-23

JUSTICE COUNCIL

Dag Mattsson, Petter Asp and Anders Perklev

REGISTRAR (KEEPER OF THE MINUTES)

Hanna Hallonsten

PARTIES

Complainant

1. Republic of
Kazakhstan Ministry of
Finance
11 Zhenis Avenye
010000 Nur-Sultan
Kazakhstan

Ombudsman:

Lawyers Fredrik Ringquist and Malin Berggren and lawyers Sara
Bengtson Urwitz and Julia Fermbäck.
Mannheimer Swartling Advokatbyrå AB
Box 1711
111 87 Stockholm

Fax -

103 12 Stockholm

E-mail:
hogsta.domstolen@dom.se
www.hogstodomstolen.se

08:00-12:00
13:15-16:00

Lawyer Alexander Foerster Birger
Jarlsgatan 2
114 34 Stockholm

2. The National Bank of
Kazakhstan, 57A Mangilik El Ave,
Esil district Astana, Z05T8F6,
Kazakstan.

Representatives: Lawyers Karl Guterstam, Linda Landén and Magnus
Nygren and lawyer Stina Isaksson.
Sandart & Partners Advokatbyrå KB
Box 7131
103 87 Stockholm

Counterparties

1. Ascom Group S.A.,
75 A. Mateevici Street
Chisinau, MD-2008
Moldova.

2. Anatolia State
20 Dragomirna Street
Chisinau, MD-2008
Moldova

3. Gabriel Stati
1A Ghiocilor Street
Chisinau, MD-2008
Moldova

4. Terra Raf Trans Traiding Ltd
No 41 Unit 1.2.02 Block 1 Eurotowers Gibraltar
GX11 1 AA
Gibraltar

Agents for 1-4: Lawyers Ginta Ahrel, Therése Isaksson and Bo G H
Nilsson and lawyer Tom Sundin.
Westerberg & Partners Advokatbyrå AB
Box 3101
103 62 Stockholm

THE CASE

Foreclosure

APPEALED DECISION

Decision of the Svea Court of Appeal of 28 October 2022 and 16 January
2023 in case ÖÄ 13682-21.

The Supreme Court decides

DECISION

The Supreme Court does not grant leave to appeal. The Court of Appeal's
decision therefore stands.

REASON

For the Supreme Court to consider an appeal of this kind, leave to appeal is required. Leave to appeal may be granted if it is of importance for the guidance of the application of the law that the appeal be reviewed by the Supreme Court. In addition, leave to appeal may be granted in exceptional cases, namely when there are exceptional reasons for a review by the Supreme Court.

The Supreme Court has examined the material. It has not found any reason to grant leave to appeal.

Hanna Hallonsten

Submitted for dispatch 2023-06-14

Dag Mattsson